arXiv:math/0010176v1 [math.DG] 17 Oct 2000

A CLASSIFICATION AND EXAMPLES OF FOUR-DIMENSIONAL ISOCLINIC THREE-WEBS

Vladislav V. Goldberg

Abstract. A classification and examples of four-dimensional isoclinic three-webs of codimension two are given. The examples considered prove the existence theorem for many classes of webs for which the general existence theorems are not proved yet.

0 Introduction

In the 1980s while studying rank problems for webs (see the papers [G 83, 92, 93], the review paper [AG 99a] and the monograph [G 88], Ch. 8), the author has constructed three examples of exceptional four-webs $W(4,2,2)$ of maximum 2-rank on a four-dimensional manifold X^4 (see [G 85, 86, 87], [AG 99a], and the books [G 88], [AS 92], and [AG 96]). They are exceptional since they are of maximum rank but not algebraizable. Hénaut [H 98] named these webs after the author and denoted them by $G_1(4,2,2), G_2(4,2,2)$, and $G_3(4,2,2)$.

When the author was constructing these examples of four-webs, he considered numerous examples of three-webs $W(3,2,2)$ on X^4 and proved that three of them can be expanded to exceptional four-webs $W(4,2,2)$ of maximum 2-rank (see [G 85, 86, 87, 88]).

However, it appeared that many examples of three-webs $W(3,2,2)$ that the author has constructed in that research are useful when one studies different classes of multidimensional three-webs (isoclinic, hexagonal, transversally geodesic, algebraizable, Bol's webs, etc.). Some of these examples of webs $W(3,2,2)$ were published in the author paper [G 92] and the book [G 88], and some were included in problem sections of the book [AS 92].

The aim of the current paper is to present some of these examples both published and unpublished following some classification for them. We will include into this paper some of three-webs $W(3,2,2)$ considered by Bol [B 35] and Chern [C 36]. These examples were already considered in [G 85, 86, 87, 88] from the rank point of view. It is worth to consider them again in order to provide an up-to-date characterization of webs by indicating to which classes a web belongs (isoclinic, transversally geodesic, hexagonal, algebraizable, group, parallelizable, etc.). Most of these classes were not known in the 1930s.

The examples mentioned above prove existence of many classes of webs for which the general existence theorem is not proved yet.

In this paper we will consider only isoclinic webs $W(3,2,2)$. A classification and examples of nonisoclinic webs $W(3,2,2)$ will be presented elsewhere.

1 The transversal distribution of a web $W(3,2,2)$

1. The leaves of the foliation $\lambda_\xi, \ \xi = 1,2,3$, of a web $W(3,2,2)$ are determined by the equations $\underset{\xi}{\omega}^i = 0, \ i = 1,2$, where

$$\underset{1}{\omega}^i + \underset{2}{\omega}^i + \underset{3}{\omega}^i = 0 \tag{1}$$

(see, for example, [G 88], Section **8.1** or [AS 92], Section **1.3**).

The structure equations of such a web can be written in the form

$$\begin{cases} d\underset{1}{\omega}^i = \underset{1}{\omega}^j \wedge \omega_j^i + a_j \underset{1}{\omega}^j \wedge \underset{1}{\omega}^i, \\ d\underset{2}{\omega}^i = \underset{2}{\omega}^j \wedge \omega_j^j - a_j \underset{2}{\omega}^j \wedge \underset{2}{\omega}^i. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

The differential prolongations of equations (2) are (see [G 88], Sections **8.1** and **8.4** or [AS 92], Section **3.2**):

$$d\omega_j^i - \omega_j^k \wedge \omega_k^i = b_{jkl}^i \underset{1}{\omega}^k \wedge \underset{2}{\omega}^l, \tag{3}$$

$$da_i - a_j \omega_i^j = p_{ij} \underset{1}{\omega}^j + q_{ij} \underset{2}{\omega}^j, \tag{4}$$

where

$$b^i_{[j|l|k]} = \delta^i_{[k} p_{j]l}, \ \ b^i_{[jk]l} = \delta^i_{[k} q_{j]l}. \tag{5}$$

The quantities

$$a^i_{jk} = a_{[j} \delta^i_{k]} \tag{6}$$

and b^i_{jkl} are the *torsion and curvature tensors* of a three-web $W(3,2,2)$. Note that for webs $W(3,2,2)$ the torsion tensor a^i_{jk} always has structure (6), where $a = \{a_1, a_2\}$ is a covector. If $a = 0$, then a web $W(3,2,2)$ is *isoclinicly geodesic*. In what follows in this section, *we will assume that* $a \neq 0$, *i.e., a web* $W(3,2,2)$ *is nonisoclinicly geodesic.*

2. For a web $W(3,2,2)$, a transversally geodesic distribution is defined (cf. [AS 92], Section **3.1**) by the equations

$$\xi^2 \underset{1}{\omega}^1 - \xi^1 \underset{1}{\omega}^2 = 0, \ \ \xi^2 \underset{2}{\omega}^1 - \xi^1 \underset{2}{\omega}^2 = 0.$$

If we take $\dfrac{\xi^1}{\xi^2} = -\dfrac{a_2}{a_1}$, we obtain an invariant transversal distribution Δ defined by the equations

$$a_1 \underset{1}{\omega}^1 + a_2 \underset{1}{\omega}^2 = 0, \ \ a_1 \underset{2}{\omega}^1 + a_2 \underset{2}{\omega}^2 = 0. \tag{7}$$

We will call the distribution Δ defined by equations (7) the *transversal a-distribution* of a web $W(3,2,2)$ since it is defined by the covector a. Note that for isoclinicly geodesic webs $W(3,2,2)$, for which $a_1 = a_2 = 0$, the transversal distribution is not defined.

The following theorem gives the conditions of integrability of the distribution Δ (see the proofs of this and other results of this section in the forthcoming paper [AG 99b]).

Theorem 1 *The transversal a-distribution* Δ *defined by equations* (7) *is integrable if and only if the components* a_1 *and* a_2 *of the covector* a *and their Pfaffian derivatives* p_{ij} *and* q_{ij} *satisfy the conditions*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_2^2 p_{11} - 2a_1 a_2 p_{(12)} + a_1^2 p_{22} = 0, \\ a_2^2 q_{11} - 2a_1 a_2 q_{(12)} + a_1^2 q_{22} = 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{8}$$

Note that for a web $W(3,2,2)$, it is always possible to take a specialized frame in which there is a relation between the components a_1 and a_2 of the covector a. For example, if the transversal distribution Δ coincides with the distribution $\underset{\alpha}{\omega}^1 = 0$ or $\underset{\alpha}{\omega}^2 = 0$ or $\underset{\alpha}{\omega}^1 + \underset{\alpha}{\omega}^2 = 0$, then we have $a_2 = 0$ or $a_1 = 0$ or $a_1 = a_2$, respectively. Note that in these cases the forms ω_2^1, ω_1^2, and $\omega_1^1 + \omega_1^2 - \omega_2^1 - \omega_2^2$, respectively, are expressed in terms of the basis forms $\underset{\alpha}{\omega}^i$, $\alpha = 1, 2$, i.e., in these cases we have

$$\pi_2^1 = 0, \;\; \pi_1^2 = 0, \;\; \pi_1^1 + \pi_1^2 - \pi_2^1 - \pi_2^2 = 0,$$

respectively, where $\pi_i^j = \omega_i^j \Big|_{\underset{\alpha}{\omega}^i = 0}$.

In examples, that we are going to present in this paper, such situations will occur. So, we present here the conditions of integrability for these three cases.

Corollary 2 *If for a web* $W(3,2,2)$ *one of the following conditions*

$$a_2 = 0, \;\; \pi_2^1 = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$a_1 = 0, \;\; \pi_1^2 = 0, \tag{10}$$

$$a_1 = a_2, \;\; \pi_1^1 + \pi_1^2 - \pi_2^1 - \pi_2^2 = 0 \tag{11}$$

holds, then the a-distribution Δ *coincides with the distribution* $\underset{\alpha}{\omega}^1 = 0$, $\underset{\alpha}{\omega}^2 = 0$ *or* $\underset{\alpha}{\omega}^1 + \underset{\alpha}{\omega}^2 = 0$, *respectively. This a-distribution is integrable if and only if the quantities* p_{ij} *and* q_{ij} *satisfy respectively the following conditions:*

$$p_{22} = q_{22} = 0, \tag{12}$$

$$p_{11} = q_{11} = 0, \tag{13}$$

$$p_{11} - 2p_{(12)} + p_{22} = 0, \;\; q_{11} - 2q_{(12)} + q_{22} = 0. \tag{14}$$

Each of relations (8), (12), (13), and (14) gives two conditions which Pfaffian derivatives p_{ij} and q_{ij} of the co-vector a must satisfy in order for the a-distribution Δ of a web $W(3,2,2)$ to be integrable.

3. On surfaces V^2 of the integrable a-distribution Δ, foliations λ_ξ of a web $W(3,2,2)$ cut two-dimensional three-webs $W(3,2,1)$. It is well-known (see, for example, [AS 92], Section **3.1**), that if a web $W(3,2,2)$ is hexagonal, then it is transversally geodesic, and two-dimensional three-webs on all integral surfaces V^2 of the transversally geodesic distribution are hexagonal, and conversely. However, it is not true for the integrable transversal distribution Δ: the condition of hexagonality of all webs $W(3,2,1)$ is weaker than the condition $b^i_{(jkl)} = 0$ of hexagonality of the web $W(3,2,2)$.

The following theorem describes webs $W(3,2,2)$ for which hexagonality of all two-dimensional webs $W(3,2,1)$ cut by the foliations of webs $W(3,2,2)$ on the integral surfaces V^2 of their transversal distribution Δ implies hexagonality of a web $W(3,2,2)$.

Theorem 3 **(i)** *Let* $W(3,2,2)$ *be a web with nonvanishing covector* $a \neq 0$ *and with integrable transversal a-distribution* (*conditions* (8) *hold*). *The lines of all two-dimensional webs* $W(3,2,1)$ *cut by the foliations of a web* $W(3,2,2)$ *with constant* t *on the integral surfaces* V^2 *of its transversal a-distribution* Δ *are geodesic in all affine connections defined by the connection forms* $\theta^i_j = \omega^i_j + a^i_{jk}(p\underset{1}{\omega}^k + q\underset{2}{\omega}^k)$ *if and only if the equation*

$$\omega^1_2 = t^2\omega^2_1 + t(\omega^1_1 - \omega^2_2) \tag{15}$$

holds, where $t = \dfrac{a_2}{a_1}$ *is an arbitrary constant.*

(ii) *A web* $W(3,2,2)$ *satisfying equation* (15) *is hexagonal if and only if for any constant* t *all two-dimensional webs* $W(3,2,1)$ *cut by the foliations of a web* $W(3,2,2)$ *on the integral surfaces* V^2 *of its transversal a-distribution* Δ *are hexagonal. The condition of integrability of* Δ *implies the equation*

$$\begin{aligned} b^2_{111}t^4 &-(3b^2_{(112)} - b^1_{111})t^3 + 3(b^2_{(122)} - b^1_{(112)})t^2 \\ &-(b^2_{222} - 3b^1_{(122)})t - b^1_{222} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and the condition of hexagonality of webs $W(3,2,1)$ *is*

$$-b^1_{111}t^3 + 3b^1_{(112)}t^2 - 3b^1_{(122)}t + b^1_{222} = 0 \tag{17}$$

or

$$-b^2_{111}t^3 + 3b^2_{(112)}t^2 - 3b^2_{(122)}t + b^2_{222} = 0. \tag{18}$$

Note that conditions (15) and (8) imply that $t = \text{const.}$, and any two of conditions (16), (17), and (18) imply the third one. Conditions (17) and (18) show that if they are valid for *any constant* t, then $b^i_{(jkl)} = 0$, and a web $W(3,2,2)$ is hexagonal.

We consider now three cases that are similar to those in Corollary 2 with one exception: instead of having $\pi^1_2 = 0,\ \pi^2_1 = 0$, and $\pi^1_1 + \pi^2_1 - \pi^1_2 - \pi^2_2 = 0$, we request the stronger conditions $\omega^1_2 = 0,\ \omega^2_1 = 0$, and $\omega^1_1 + \omega^2_1 - \omega^1_2 - \omega^2_2 = 0$, respectively.

Corollary 4 *If for a web* $W(3,2,2)$ *one of the following conditions*

$$a_2 = 0,\ \omega^1_2 = 0, \tag{19}$$

$$a_1 = 0,\ \omega^2_1 = 0, \tag{20}$$

$$a_1 = a_2,\ \ \omega^1_1 + \omega^2_1 = \omega^1_2 + \omega^2_2, \tag{21}$$

holds, then the transversal a-distribution Δ *is the distribution defined by the equations* $\underset{\alpha}{\omega}^1 = 0,\ \underset{\alpha}{\omega}^2 = 0$, *or* $\underset{\alpha}{\omega}^1 + \underset{\alpha}{\omega}^2 = 0$, *respectively. This distribution is integrable, and such a web is foliated into two-dimensional hexagonal webs* $W(3,2,1)$ *belonging to integral surfaces of* Δ *if and only if*

$$b^1_{222} = 0,\ \ b^2_{222} = 0, \tag{22}$$

$$b^1_{111} = 0,\ \ b^2_{111} = 0, \tag{23}$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} -b^1_{111} + 3(b^1_{(112)} - b^1_{(122)}) + b^1_{222} = 0, \\ -b^2_{111} + 3(b^2_{(112)} - b^2_{(122)}) + b^2_{222} = 0, \end{array}\right. \tag{24}$$

respectively.

2 The isoclinic webs $W(3,2,2)$

1. A one-parameter family of isoclinic bivectors $\zeta_1 \wedge \zeta_2$, where $\zeta_i = \zeta^1 \underset{2}{e}_i - \zeta^2 \underset{1}{e}_i$, is associated with a web $W(3,2,2)$ (see [AS 92], Sections **1.3** and **3.2** or [G 88], Section **1.11**). A surface is *isoclinic* if it is tangent to an isoclinic bivector at each of its points. A web $W(3,2,2)$ is called *isoclinic* if a one-parameter family of isoclinic surfaces passes through any point of this web.

It is proved (see [G 88], Section **8.1**, [G 92] or [AS 92]. Section **3.2**) that *a web* $W(3,2,2)$ *is isoclinic if and only if the quantities* p_{ij} *and* q_{ij} *in equations* (4) *are symmetric*:

$$p_{ij} = p_{ji},\ \ q_{ij} = q_{ji}. \tag{25}$$

Note that for webs $W(3,2,r),\ r > 2$, the structure (6) of its torsion tensor is necessary and sufficient for its isoclinicity. As we noted earlier, the torsion tensor of a web $W(3,2,2)$ always has form (6), and conditions (25) are necessary and sufficient for this web to be isoclinic.

For an isoclinic web $W(3, 2, 2)$, we can take

$$p_{ij} = f_{ij} - h_{ij}, \quad q_{ij} = g_{ij} - h_{ij}, \tag{26}$$

where f_{ij}, g_{ij}, h_{ij} are symmetric (0, 2)-tensors. Then the curvature tensor of the web $W(3, 2, 2)$ has the form

$$b^i_{jkl} = a^i_{jkl} + f_{jk}\delta^i_l + g_{lj}\delta^i_k + h_{kl}\delta^i_j, \tag{27}$$

where

$$a^i_{jkl} = b^i_{(jkl)} - (f_{(jk} + g_{(jk} + h_{(jk})\delta^i_{l)}, \tag{28}$$

and

$$a^i_{ikl} = 0 \tag{29}$$

(see [AS 92], Section **3.2**). The condition (29) allows us to find the tensor h_{ij}:

$$h_{ij} = \frac{3}{4} b^k_{(kij)} - f_{ij} - g_{ij}. \tag{30}$$

So, now the tensors f_{ij}, g_{ij}, and h_{ij} are well-defined by equations (30) and (26). It follows from (30) and (26) that the tensor h_{ij} can be also expressed as

$$h_{ij} = \frac{1}{4} b^k_{(kij)} - \frac{1}{3}(p_{ij} + q_{ij}). \tag{31}$$

2. We will combine here some results giving an analytic characterizations of the most important classes of isoclinic webs $W(3, 2, 2)$ (see [AS 92] and [G 88] for details). Note that for the almost algebraizable, almost Bol, and almost parallelizable webs these analytic characterizations have been used in [G 86, 87] (see also [G 88], Ch. 8) as their definitions.

Theorem 5 *For an isoclinic web $W(3, 2, 2)$ of different types we have the following analytic characterizations:*

(a) *An isoclinic web $W(3, 2, 2)$ is isoclinicly geodesic if and only if*

$$a_1 = a_2 = 0. \tag{32}$$

(b) *An isoclinic web $W(3, 2, 2)$ is transversally geodesic (and therefore Grassmannizable) if and only if*

$$a^i_{jkl} = 0. \tag{33}$$

(c) *An isoclinic web $W(3, 2, 2)$ is almost algebraizable if and only if*

$$f_{ij} + g_{ij} + h_{ij} = 0. \tag{34}$$

(d) *An isoclinic web* $W(3,2,2)$ *is almost Bol web* B_m *if and only if*

$$f_{ij} + g_{ij} = 0, \quad h_{ij} = 0. \tag{35}$$

(e) *An isoclinic web* $W(3,2,2)$ *is almost parallelizable if and only if*

$$f_{ij} = g_{ij} = h_{ij} = 0. \tag{36}$$

(f) *An isoclinic web* $W(3,2,2)$ *is hexagonal* (*and therefore algebraizable*) *if and only if*

$$a^i_{jkl} = 0, \quad f_{ij} + g_{ij} + h_{ij} = 0. \tag{37}$$

(g) *An isoclinic web* $W(3,2,2)$ *is a Bol web* B_m *if and only if*

$$a^i_{jkl} = 0, \quad f_{ij} + g_{ij} = 0, \quad h_{ij} = 0. \tag{38}$$

(h) *An isoclinic web* $W(3,2,2)$ *is a group web if and only if*

$$a^i_{jkl} = 0, \quad f_{ij} = g_{ij} = h_{ij} = 0. \tag{39}$$

(i) *An isoclinic web* $W(3,2,2)$ *is parallelizable if and only if conditions* (32) *and* (39) *hold.*

Proof. First note that the term "almost" is used in parts (c), (d), and (e) of the theorem since a web $W(3,2,2)$ is not assumed to be transversally geodesic.

We will prove only parts (h) and (i). The proof of parts (a)–(g) can be found in [A 74]; [AS 92], Section **3.3**; [G 92] and [G 88], Section **8.1**.

Part (h). Since a group web is transversally geodesic, we have (33). Since it is a group web, we also have $b^i_{jkl} = 0$, and this and (27) imply that

$$f_{jk}\delta^i_l + g_{lj}\delta^i_k + h_{kl}\delta^i_j = 0.$$

Contracting the last equations with respect to the indices i and j, i and k, i and l, we find that

$$2f_{ij} + g_{ij} + h_{ij} = 0, \quad f_{ij} + 2g_{ij} + h_{ij} = 0, \quad f_{ij} + g_{ij} + 2h_{ij} = 0.$$

These equations imply (39). Thus, equations (39) are necessary and sufficient for an isoclinic web to be a group web.

Part (i). Since a parallelizable web is a group web, we have equations (39). In addition, the torsion tensor a^i_{jk} of such a web must vanish, i.e., the web is isoclinicly geodesic. By (6), this implies (32). ■

3. Suppose that three foliations λ_1, λ_2, and λ_3 of a web $W(3,2,2)$ are given as the level sets $u^i_\xi =$ const. ($\xi = 1,2,3$), of the following functions:

$$\lambda_1 : u^i_1 = x^i; \quad \lambda_2 : u^i_2 = y^i; \quad \lambda_3 : u^i_3 = f^i(x^j, y^k), \qquad i,j,k = 1,2. \tag{40}$$

In order to characterize a web $W(3,2,2)$ given by (40), we must find the forms $\underset{\alpha}{\omega}{}^i,\ \alpha = 1, 2,\ \omega^i_j$, and the functions $a^i_{jk},\ b^i_{jkl},\ a_i,\ a^i_{jkl},\ f_{ij}, g_{ij}, h_{ij}$.

The forms $\underset{\alpha}{\omega}{}^i,\ \omega^i_j$ and the functions a^i_{jk} and b^i_{jkl} can be found my means of the following formulae (see [AS 71], or [G 88], Section **8.1**, or [AS 92], Section **1.6**):

$$\underset{1}{\omega}{}^i = \bar{f}^i_j dx^j, \quad \underset{2}{\omega}{}^i = \tilde{f}^i_j dy^j, \quad \underset{3}{\omega}{}^i = -du^i_3, \tag{41}$$

where

$$\bar{f}^i_j = \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x^j}, \quad \tilde{f}^i_j = \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial y^j}, \quad \det(\bar{f}^i_j) \neq 0, \quad \det(\tilde{f}^i_j) \neq 0,$$

and

$$d\underset{1}{\omega}{}^i = -d\underset{2}{\omega}{}^i = \Gamma^i_{jk}\underset{1}{\omega}{}^j \wedge \underset{2}{\omega}{}^k, \tag{42}$$

$$\Gamma^i_{jk} = -\frac{\partial^2 f^i}{\partial x^l \partial y^m}\bar{g}^l_j \tilde{g}^m_k, \tag{43}$$

$$\omega^i_j = \Gamma^i_{kj}\underset{1}{\omega}{}^k + \Gamma^i_{jk}\underset{2}{\omega}{}^k, \tag{44}$$

$$a^i_{jk} = \Gamma^i_{[jk]}, \tag{45}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b^i_{jkl} \quad = \frac{1}{2}\Bigg(& \frac{\partial \Gamma^i_{kl}}{\partial x^m}\bar{g}^m_j + \frac{\partial \Gamma^i_{jl}}{\partial x^m}\bar{g}^m_k - \frac{\partial \Gamma^i_{kj}}{\partial y^m}\tilde{g}^m_l - \frac{\partial \Gamma^i_{kl}}{\partial y^m}\tilde{g}^m_j \\ & + \Gamma^m_{jl}\Gamma^i_{km} - \Gamma^m_{kj}\Gamma^i_{ml} + 2\Gamma^m_{kl}a^i_{mj}\Bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

As to the functions $a_i,\ f_{ij}, g_{ij}, h_{ij}$, and a^i_{jkl}, they can be easily calculated from equations (2), (4), (6), (26), and (30).

In what follows, we will always assume that three foliations of a web $W(3,2,2)$ are given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_1 : x^1 = \text{const.}, \quad x^2 = \text{const.}; \\ \lambda_2 : y^1 = \text{const.}, \quad y^2 = \text{const.}; \\ u^1_3 = f^1(x^j, y^k) = \text{const.}, \quad u^2_3 = f^2(x^j, y^k) = \text{const.} \end{array} \right. \tag{47}$$

In examples of webs, that we are going to present in Section **3**, we will only specify the functions $f^1(x^j, y^k)$ and $f^2(x^j, y^k)$.

Note that it follows from (44) that all forms ω^i_j are expressed in terms of the forms $\underset{1}{\omega}{}^i$ and $\underset{2}{\omega}{}^i$ only. This means that the forms $\pi^i_j = \omega^i_j\Big|_{\underset{\alpha}{\omega}{}^i = 0}$ vanish, $\pi^i_j = 0$. It follows that the second conditions in equations (9), (10), and (11) are always valid for webs defined by equations (47), and the meaning of equations (9), (10), and (11) is that the transversal distribution Δ coincides with the distribution $\underset{1}{\omega}^i = 0$ or $\underset{2}{\omega}^i = 0$, or $\underset{1}{\omega}^i + \underset{2}{\omega}^i = 0$, respectively.

4. We will present a classification of isoclinic webs $W(3,2,2)$ given by equations (47).

A. Nonisoclinicly geodesic webs ($a_1^2 + a_2^2 > 0$).

- **A**$_1$. Webs with the integrable transversal distribution Δ ((8) holds).
  - **A**$_{11}$. Webs with the integrable transversal distribution $a_1 \underset{1}{\omega}^i + a_2 \underset{2}{\omega}^i = 0,\ a_1, a_2 \neq 0,\ t = \dfrac{a_2}{a_1} = \text{const.}$ ((8) holds).
    - **A**$_{111}$. Webs foliated into planar hexagonal webs $W(3,2,1)$ ((17) and (18) hold).
    - **A**$_{112}$. Webs with the integrable transversal distribution $\underset{\alpha}{\omega}^1 + \underset{\alpha}{\omega}^2 = 0$ ((11) and (14) hold).
      - **A**$_{1121}$. Webs foliated into planar hexagonal webs $W(3,2,1)$ ((21) and (24) hold).
  - **A**$_{12}$. Webs with the integrable transversal distribution $\underset{\alpha}{\omega}^1 = 0$ ((9) and (12) hold).
    - **A**$_{121}$. Webs foliated into planar hexagonal webs $W(3,2,1)$ ((19) and (22) hold).
  - **A**$_{13}$. Webs with the integrable transversal distribution $\underset{\alpha}{\omega}^2 = 0$ ((10) and (13) hold).
    - **A**$_{131}$. Webs foliated into planar hexagonal webs $W(3,2,1)$ ((20) and (23) hold).
- **A**$_2$. Webs with nonintegrable transversal distribution Δ.

B. Isoclinicly geodesic webs ((32) holds).

C. Nontransversally geodesic webs ((33) does not hold).

- **C**$_1$. Almost algebraizable webs ((34) holds).
  - **C**$_{11}$. Almost Bol webs ((35) holds).
  - **C**$_{12}$. Almost parallelizable webs ((36) holds).
- **C**$_2$. Webs that are not almost algebraizable ((34) does not hold).

D. Grassmannizable webs ((33) holds).

- **D**$_1$. Nonhexagonal webs ((37) does not hold).
- **D**$_2$. Hexagonal webs ((37) holds).
  - **D**$_{21}$. Bol webs ((38) holds).

- **$\mathbf{D}_{22}$.** Nongroup webs ((39) does not hold).
- **$\mathbf{D}_{23}$.** Group webs ((39) holds).
  - **$\mathbf{D}_{231}$.** Nonparallelizable webs ((32) does not hold).
  - **$\mathbf{D}_{232}$.** Parallelizable webs ((32) holds).

E. Webs with different relations among p_{ij} and q_{ij}. We indicate the most common classes of such webs.

- **$\mathbf{E}_1$.** Webs with $p_{ij} = q_{ij} = 0$.
- **$\mathbf{E}_2$.** Webs with $p_{1i} = q_{ij} = 0$; $p_{22} \neq 0$.
- **$\mathbf{E}_3$.** Webs with $p_{ij} = q_{1i} = 0$; $q_{22} \neq 0$.
- **$\mathbf{E}_4$.** Webs with $p_{1i} = q_{1i} = 0$; $p_{22} \neq 0$, $q_{22} \neq 0$.
  - **$\mathbf{E}_{41}$.** Webs with $p_{22} = -q_{22} \neq 0$.
- **$\mathbf{E}_5$.** Webs with $p_{2i} = q_{ij} = 0$; $p_{11} \neq 0$.
- **$\mathbf{E}_6$.** Webs with $p_{ij} = q_{2i} = 0$; $q_{11} \neq 0$.
- **$\mathbf{E}_7$.** Webs with $p_{2i} = q_{2i} = 0$; $p_{11} \neq 0$, $q_{11} \neq 0$.
  - **$\mathbf{E}_{71}$.** Webs with $p_{11} = -q_{11} \neq 0$.
- **$\mathbf{E}_8$.** Webs with $p_{ij} + q_{ij} = 0$.

F. Webs extendable to a web $W(4,2,2)$ of maximum 2-rank.

- **$\mathbf{F}_1$.** Webs extendable to an algebraizable web $W(4,2,2)$ of maximum 2-rank.
- **$\mathbf{F}_2$.** Webs extendable to an exceptional (nonalgebraizable) web $W(4,2,2)$ of maximum 2-rank.

G. Webs nonextendable to a web $W(4,2,2)$ of maximum 2-rank.

Remark 6 It is easy to give characterizations of webs of the classes $\mathbf{E}_1$–$\mathbf{E}_8$:

- Class $\mathbf{E}_1$: the covector a is covariantly constant on an entire web of this class.
- Class $\mathbf{E}_2$: for webs of this class, the component a_1 is covariantly constant on an entire web, and a_2 is covariantly constant on the three-dimensional distribution defined by the equation $\underset{1}{\omega}^2 = 0$.
- Class $\mathbf{E}_4$: for webs of this class, the component a_1 is covariantly constant on an entire web, and the component a_2 is covariantly constant on the two-dimensional distribution defined by the equations $\underset{1}{\omega}^2 = 0$, $\underset{2}{\omega}^2 = 0$.

- Class $\mathbf{E}_{41}$: for webs of this class, the component a_1 is covariantly constant on an entire web, and the component a_2 is covariantly constant on the three-dimensional distribution defined by the equations $\underset{1}{\omega}^2 - \underset{2}{\omega}^2 = 0$.

- Class $\mathbf{E}_8$: for webs of this class, the covector a is covariantly constant on the two-dimensional distribution defined by the equations $\underset{1}{\omega}^j - \underset{2}{\omega}^j = 0, \ \ j = 1, 2$.

  The characterization of webs of the classes $\mathbf{E}_3$ (and $\mathbf{E}_5$, $\mathbf{E}_6$), $\mathbf{E}_4$, $\mathbf{E}_{41}$ is similar to that of the classes $\mathbf{E}_2$, $\mathbf{E}_7$, $\mathbf{E}_{71}$, respectively.

Remark 7 If a web is given by equation (1), then the webs of the classes $\mathbf{A}_{11}$, $\mathbf{A}_{112}$, $\mathbf{A}_{12}$, $\mathbf{A}_{13}$ as well as webs of the classes $\mathbf{A}_{111}$, $\mathbf{A}_{1121}$, $\mathbf{A}_{121}$, $\mathbf{A}_{131}$ could be equivalent one to another. They are different by a location of the integrable transversal distribution Δ. In fact, if there is no additional conditions on webs, then by transformations $x^i = \phi^i(x^j), \ y^i = \psi^i(y^j)$, we can make the integrable transversal distributions Δ of any two of them to coincide. However, in our examples in Section **3**, we always have $\pi_i^j = 0$, and above mentioned specializations are impossible. In addition, in our examples, there will be additional conditions on webs, and in general, under the above mentioned transformation (if it would be possible), these additional conditions for the first transformed web do not coincide with the additional conditions for the second web. These are the reasons that in our classification, we consider all above mentioned classes as different ones.

Remark 8 The classification presented above has some overlapping classes: for example, the class $\mathbf{A}_1$ is a subclass of the class $\mathbf{E}_1$, and the class $\mathbf{D}_{232}$ is a subclass of the class $\mathbf{B}$.

Remark 9 In general, we must prove the existence theorem for all the classes listed above. Such a theorem can be proved for a web of general kind of each class using the well-known Cartan's test or it can be proved by finding examples of webs of these classes. The examples of webs in Section **3** prove existence of webs of most of the classes of our classification.

3 Examples of isoclinic webs $W(3, 2, 2)$

Example 1 When we constructed an exceptional web $G_1(4, 2, 2)$ (see [G 85, 86] or [G 88], Example **8.4.2**), we investigated the web $W(3, 2, 2)$ introduced by Bol (see [B 35], p. 462). This web $W(3, 2, 2)$ is defined by

$$u_3^1 = x^1 + y^1, \quad u_3^2 = (x^2 + y^2)(y^1 - x^1) \tag{48}$$

in a domain of $\mathbf{R}^4$ where $x^1 \neq y^1$. Note that this example of the web $G_1(4,2,2)$ was also included into the books [AS 92], Section **3.5**, Problem **6** and Ch. **8**, Problem **4**; [AG 96], Example **5.5.1**; and the review paper [AG 99a], Section **6.4**).

Using (41)–(46), (26), (28), and (31), for the web (48), we find that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma^1_{ij} = \Gamma^2_{22} = 0, \quad \Gamma^2_{11} = \dfrac{2(x^2+y^2)}{x^1-y^1}, \quad \Gamma^2_{21} = -\Gamma^2_{12} = \dfrac{1}{x^1-y^1}; \\
\omega^2_1 = \dfrac{1}{x^1-y^1}\Big[(x^2+y^2)(2\underset{1}{\omega}{}^1 + \underset{2}{\omega}{}^1) + \underset{1}{\omega}{}^2 - \underset{1}{\omega}{}^1\Big], \\
\omega^2_2 = -\dfrac{1}{x^1-y^1}\Big(\underset{1}{\omega}{}^1 - \underset{2}{\omega}{}^1\Big), \ \ \omega^1_i = 0, \\
a_1 = \dfrac{2}{(y^1-x^1)}, \ \ a_2 = 0, \ \ p_{2i} = q_{2i} = 0, \ \ p_{11} = -q_{11} = \dfrac{2}{(x^1-y^1)^2}, \\
b^1_{ijk} = b^2_{222} = b^2_{211} = b^2_{122} = b^2_{212} = b^2_{211} = 0, \\
b^2_{112} = -b^2_{121} = \dfrac{2}{(x^1-y^1)^2}, \quad b^2_{111} = -\dfrac{8}{(x^2+y^2)(x^1-y^1)^2}, \\
h_{ij} = 0, \ \ f_{11} = -g_{11} = \dfrac{2}{(x^1-y^1)^2}, \quad f_{ij} = g_{ij} = 0, \quad (i,j) \neq (1,1); \\
a^1_{ijk} = 0, \ \ a^2_{111} = b^2_{111}, \ \ a^2_{ijk} = 0, \quad (i,j,k) \neq (1,1,1).
\end{array}\right. \tag{49}$$

Equations (49) and (25) show that *the three-web* (48) *is isoclinic.* Since $a^2_{111} \neq 0$, equations (49) and (33) show that *this web is not transversally geodesic.* Since we have

$$f_{ij} + g_{ij} = 0, \quad h_{ij} = 0,$$

the web (48) is an *almost Bol three-web* (B_m) (see (35)). Thus, the *it belongs to the class* $\mathbf{C}_{11}$. By (49), (19), and (22), *the web* (48) *belongs to the class* $\mathbf{A}_{121}$, and *it also belongs to the class* $\mathbf{E}_{71}$.

The web (48) *belongs to the class* $\mathbf{F}_2$ since as was proved in [G 85, 86] (see also [G 88], Example **8.4.2**), *the three-web* (48) *can be extended to an exceptional web* $G_1(4,2,2)$ *of maximum* 2*-rank.* Note that there exists a one-parameter family of such extensions, and the leaves of the fourth foliation of the web $W(4,2,2)$ are defined as level sets of the functions

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^1_4 = \dfrac{(x^1-y^1)^2(x^2+y^2)^2}{(x^2+C)(y^2-C)}, \\
u^2_4 = x^1 + y^1 + \dfrac{(y^1-x^1)(x^2+y^2)}{\sqrt{(x^2+C)(y^2-C)} \cdot \arctan\ \sqrt{(y^2-C)(x^2+C)}}.
\end{array}\right.$$

The only abelian equation of the exceptional webs $G_1(4,2,2)$ of this family has the form

$$\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \left(\frac{1}{y^2}\right) dy^1 \wedge dy^2 - \left(\frac{1}{u^2_3}\right) du^1_3 \wedge du^2_3 - \left(\frac{1}{2u^1_4}\right) du^1_4 \wedge du^2_4 = 0.$$

Example 2 When we constructed an exceptional web $G_2(4,2,2)$ (see [G 86]; [G 88], Example **8.4.3**), we investigated the web $W(3,2,2)$ defined by the functions

$$u_3^1 = x^1 + y^1, \quad u_3^2 = -x^1 y^2 + x^2 y^1 \tag{50}$$

in a domain of $\mathbf{R}^4$ where $x^1 \neq 0,\ y^1 \neq 0$. Note that this example of the web $G_2(4,2,2)$ was also included into the books [AG 96], Example **5.5.2** and [AS 92], Ch. **8**, Problem **5**, and the review paper [AG 99a], Section **6.4**,

By (41)–(46), (26), (28), and (31), for the web (50), we find that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_1 = \dfrac{1}{y^1} - \dfrac{1}{x^1}, \ ;\ a_2 = 0; \\
\Gamma^1_{ij} = \Gamma^2_{22} = 0,\ \Gamma^2_{12} = -\dfrac{1}{x^1},\ \Gamma^2_{21} = -\dfrac{1}{y^1},\ \Gamma^2_{11} = \dfrac{x^2}{x^1} - \dfrac{y^2}{y^1}, \\
\omega^1_i = 0,\ \omega^2_1 = \left(\dfrac{x^2}{x^1} - \dfrac{y^2}{y^1}\right)\left(\underset{1}{\omega}^1 + \underset{2}{\omega}^1\right),\ \omega^2_2 = -\dfrac{1}{x^1}\underset{1}{\omega}^1 - \dfrac{1}{y^1}\underset{2}{\omega}^1, \\
p_{11} = \dfrac{1}{(x^1)^2},\ q_{11} = -\dfrac{1}{(y^1)^2},\ p_{2i} = q_{2i} = 0; \\
b^2_{111} = a^2_{111} = \left(\dfrac{1}{y^1} - \dfrac{1}{x^1}\right)\left(\dfrac{x^2}{x^1} - \dfrac{y^2}{y^1}\right),\ b^2_{121} = -\dfrac{1}{(y^1)^2}, \\
b^2_{112} = \dfrac{1}{(x^1)^2},\ b^1_{ijk} = b^2_{211} = b^2_{122} = b^2_{212} = b^2_{221} = b^2_{222} = 0; \\
h_{11} = \dfrac{1}{4}\left[\dfrac{1}{(y^1)^2} - \dfrac{1}{(x^1)^2}\right],\ f_{11} = \dfrac{1}{4}\left[\dfrac{3}{(x^1)^2} + \dfrac{1}{(y^1)^2}\right], \\
g_{11} = -\dfrac{1}{4}\left[\dfrac{1}{(x^1)^2} + \dfrac{3}{(y^1)^2}\right],\ f_{ij} = g_{ij} = h_{ij} = 0,\ (i,j) \neq (1,1); \\
-a^1_{111} = a^2_{211} = a^2_{111} = a^2_{112} = \dfrac{1}{4}\left[\dfrac{1}{(x^1)^2} - \dfrac{1}{(y^1)^2}\right], \\
a^1_{ijk} = a^2_{122} = a^2_{212} = a^2_{221} = a^2_{222} = 0,\ (i,j,k) \neq (1,1,1).
\end{array}\right. \tag{51}$$

It follows from (51), (25), and (33) that *the web* (50) *is isoclinic and not transversally geodesic.* Since conditions (51) imply that

$$f_{11} + g_{11} + h_{11} = \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{(x^1)^2} - \frac{1}{(y^1)^2}\right],$$

it follows that *the web* (50) *belongs to the class* $\mathbf{C}_2$. By (51), (19), and (22), *the web* (50) *belongs to the class* $\mathbf{A}_{121}$, and *it also belongs to the class* $\mathbf{E}_{71}$.

The web (50) *belongs to the class* $\mathbf{F}_2$ since as was proved in [G 86] (see also [G 88], Example **8.4.3**), *the three-web* (50) *can be extended to an exceptional web* $G_2(4,2,2)$ *of maximum* 2*-rank.* There exists a two-parameter family of such extensions. The leaves of the fourth foliation of the web $G_2(4,2,2)$ are defined as level sets of the functions

$$u_4^1 = \frac{u_3^2 + C_1 u_3^1}{x^2 + y^2 + C_1 u_3^2}, \quad u_4^2 = -u_4^1 \ln \left| \frac{y^2 + C_1 y^1 - C_2}{x^2 + C_1 x^1 + C_2} \right| - u_3^1.$$

The only abelian equation of the exceptional webs G_2 of this family has the form

$$-\frac{1}{x^2 + C_1 x^1 + C_2} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - \frac{1}{y^2 + C_1 y^1 - C_2} dy^1 \wedge dy^2$$
$$+ \frac{1}{u_3^2 + C_2 u_3^1} du_3^1 \wedge du_3^2 - \frac{1}{u_4^1} du_4^1 \wedge du_4^2 = 0.$$

Example 3 Consider the web defined by

$$u_3^1 = x^1 + x^2 y^1, \quad u_3^2 = x^2 y^2 \tag{52}$$

in a domain of $\mathbf{R}^4$ where $x^2 \neq 0, \ y^2 \neq 0$.

Using (41)–(46), (26), (28), and (31), for the web (52), we find that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_1 = 0, \ \ a_2 = \dfrac{1}{x^2 y^2}, \ \ \Gamma_{21}^1 = \Gamma_{22}^2 = -\dfrac{1}{x^2 y^2}, \ \ \Gamma_{1k}^i = \Gamma_{21}^2 = \Gamma_{22}^i = 0, \\ \omega_1^1 = -\dfrac{1}{x^2 y^2} \omega^2_1, \ \ \omega_2^1 = -\dfrac{1}{x^2 y^2} \omega^1_2, \ \ \omega_1^2 = 0, \ \ \omega_2^2 = -\dfrac{1}{x^2 y^2} \omega^2_2, \\ p_{ij} = 0, \ \ (i,j) \neq (2,2), \ \ p_{22} = -\dfrac{1}{(x^2 y^2)^2}, \ \ q_{ij} = 0, \\ -b_{121}^1 = -b_{122}^1 = b_{221}^2 = \dfrac{1}{2(x^2 y^2)^2}, \\ b_{111}^1 = b_{222}^2 = b_{1kl}^2 = b_{2kl}^1 = b_{212}^2 = b_{211}^2 = b_{112}^1 = 0, \\ f_{1i} = g_{1i} = h_{1i} = 0, \ \ g_{22} = h_{22} = -\dfrac{1}{2} f_{22} = \dfrac{1}{3(x^2 y^2)^2}, \\ a_{122}^2 = -a_{112}^1 = -a_{122}^1 = \dfrac{1}{6(x^2 y^2)^2}; \ a_{jjj}^i = a_{211}^2 = 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{53}$$

It follows from (53), (25), and (33) that *the web* (52) *is isoclinic but not transversally geodesic* since $a_{jkl}^i \neq 0$. Since

$$f_{22} + g_{22} + h_{22} = 0,$$

the web (52) *belongs to the class* $\mathbf{C}_1$. By (53), (19), and (22), *the web* (52) *belongs to the class* $\mathbf{A}_{121}$, and *it also belongs to the class* $\mathbf{E}_2$.

Using the results of [G 88] (Chapter **8**), one can prove that *the three-web* (52) *cannot be extended to a four-web* $W(4,2,2)$ *of maximum* 2*-rank.* Thus, *it belongs to the class* $\mathbf{G}$.

In Examples **4**–**7** below the functions u_3^1 and u_3^2 will be linear-fractional. In general, a web $W(3,2,2)$ of this kind is defined by the equations

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} u_3^1 = \dfrac{\alpha_1^1 x^1 + \alpha_2^1 x^2 + \beta_1^1 y^1 + \beta_2^1 y^2}{\alpha_1^2 x^1 + \alpha_2^2 x^2 + \beta_1^2 y^1 + \beta_2^2 y^2}, \\ \\ u_3^2 = \dfrac{\alpha_1^3 x^1 + \alpha_2^3 x^2 + \beta_1^3 y^1 + \beta_2^3 y^2}{\alpha_2^4 x^1 + \alpha_2^4 x^2 + \beta_1^4 y^1 + \beta_2^4 y^2}, \end{array}\right. \tag{54}$$

where the coefficients are arbitrary constants. It is easy to see that the *equations* $u_3^1 =$ const. *and* $u_3^2 =$ const. *define a web consisting of* 2*-planes.* The common points of all 2-planes of this web are defined by the equations

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \left\{\begin{array}{l} \alpha_1^1 x^1 + \alpha_2^1 x^2 + \beta_1^1 y^1 + \beta_2^1 y^2 = 0, \\ \alpha_1^2 x^1 + \alpha_2^2 x^2 + \beta_1^2 y^1 + \beta_2^2 y^2 = 0, \end{array}\right. \\ \left\{\begin{array}{l} \alpha_1^3 x^1 + \alpha_2^3 x^2 + \beta_1^3 y^1 + \beta_2^3 y^2 = 0, \\ \alpha_2^4 x^1 + \alpha_2^4 x^2 + \beta_1^4 y^1 + \beta_2^4 y^2 = 0. \end{array}\right. \end{array}\right. \tag{55}$$

Consider the following three matrices of coefficients of system (55):

$$D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^1 & \alpha_2^1 & \beta_1^1 & \beta_2^1, \\ \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_2^2 & \beta_1^2 & \beta_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^3 & \alpha_2^3 & \beta_1^3 & \beta_2^3, \\ \alpha_2^4 & \alpha_2^4 & \beta_1^4 & \beta_2^4 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^1 & \alpha_2^1 & \beta_1^1 & \beta_2^1, \\ \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_2^2 & \beta_1^2 & \beta_2^2, \\ \alpha_1^3 & \alpha_2^3 & \beta_1^3 & \beta_2^3, \\ \alpha_2^4 & \alpha_2^4 & \beta_1^4 & \beta_2^4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If rank $D_1 = 1$ or rank $D_2 = 1$, then one of the functions u_3^1 or u_3^2 becomes a constant, and inequalities in (41) are not satisfied. Thus equations (54) do not define a web $W(3,2,2)$ in these two cases. Geometrically, in these cases 2-planes defined by (54) belong to an $\mathbf{R}^3$.

So, we will assume that rank $D_1 =$ rank $D_2 = 2$. The following three cases can occur:

(i) rank $D = 4$. The axes of two pencils of hyperplanes of a web defined by (54) have only one common point. Thus *the leaves of the foliation* λ_3 *are* 2*-planes passing through a common point but not having a common straight line.* This is the general case.

(ii) rank $D = 3$. The axes of two pencils of hyperplanes (and as a result, all 2-planes of the foliation λ_3) of a web defined by (54) have a common straight line. Thus in this case *the leaves of the foliation* λ_3 *are* 2*-planes of a pencil, and the axis of this pencil is defined by equations* (55). This is a special case.

(iii) rank $D = 2$. In this case again inequalities in (41) are not satisfied. Geometrically, in this case two pencils of hyperplanes defined by (54) coincide, their axes coincide, and as a result, all 2-planes of the foliation λ_3 defined by (54) coincide with this common two-dimensional axis. Thus equations (54) do not define a web $W(3,2,2)$ in this case.

The webs $W(3,2,2)$ in Examples **4, 5,** and **6** belong to the special case (ii): 2-planes of its foliation λ_3 form a pencil. The web of Example **7** is of the general type (i): 2-planes of its foliation λ_3) have only one common point.

Example 4 Consider the web (see [Bo 35], p. 449) defined by the functions

$$u_3^1 = \frac{y^2}{x^1 + y^1}, \quad u_3^2 = \frac{x^2}{x^1 + y^1} \tag{56}$$

in a domain of $\mathbf{R}^4$ where $x^2 \neq 0,\ y^2 \neq 0,\ x^1 + y^1 \neq 0$.

In this case the leaves of the foliations λ_1 and λ_2 are 2-planes parallel to the coordinate 2-planes Oy^1y^2 and Ox^1x^2, respectively. Since rank $D_1 =$ rank $D_2 = 2$, rank $D = 3$, the leaves of the third foliation λ_3 are 2-planes of a pencil. It is easy to see that the axis of this pencil is defined by the equations $x^1 + y^1 = 0,\ x^2 = 0,\ y^2 = 0$.

Using (41)–(46), (26), (28), and (31), for the web (56), we find that

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma^1_{2k} = \Gamma^2_{k1} = 0, \ \ \Gamma^1_{11} = \Gamma^2_{12} = -\sigma, \ \ \Gamma^1_{12} = \Gamma^2_{22} = -\tau, \\ \omega^1_1 = -[\sigma(\underset{1}{\omega}^1 + \underset{2}{\omega}^2) + \tau \underset{2}{\omega}^1], \ \ \omega^2_1 = -\sigma \underset{2}{\omega}^2, \\ \omega^2_2 = -[\tau(\underset{1}{\omega}^2 + \underset{2}{\omega}^2) + \sigma \underset{1}{\omega}^1], \ \ \omega^1_2 = -\tau \underset{1}{\omega}^1, \\ a_1 = -\sigma, \ \ a_2 = \tau, \ \ b^i_{jkl} = a^i_{jkl} = 0, \ \ p_{ij} = q_{ij} = f_{ij} = g_{ij} = h_{ij} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{57}$$

where $\sigma = \dfrac{x^1 + y^1}{y^2}$ and $\tau = \dfrac{x^1 + y^1}{x^2}$.

It follows from (57), (32), and (39) that *the web* (56) *is an algebraizable group nonparallelizable web*, i.e., *it belongs to the class* $\mathbf{D}_{231}$. By (57) and (8), *it belongs also to the classes* $\mathbf{A}_1$ *and* $\mathbf{E}_1$.

It is well-known that in a representation of a Grassmannizable (transversally geodesic and isoclinic) web $W(3,2,2)$, such a web is generated by three surfaces $X_\xi,\ \xi = 1,2,3$, of general position in the projective space P^3, and for an algebraizable (Grassmannizable and hexagonal), these three surfaces belong to a cubic surface $V_3^2 \subset P^3$ (see [A 73] or [AS 92], Section **3.3**). The tensors f_{ij}, g_{ij}, and h_{ij} are the second fundamental tensors of the surfaces X_ξ. The conditions $f_{ij} = g_{ij} = h_{ij} = 0$ imply that all three surfaces X_ξ are planes.

Using the results of [G 88], Example **8.4.1**, one can prove that *the three-web* (56) *can be extended to a four-web* $W(4,2,2)$ *of maximum* 2*-rank, and the latter is generated in* P^3 *by four planes of general position.* Thus, *the web* (56) *belongs to the class* $\mathbf{F}_1$.

Example 5 Consider the web defined by the functions

$$u_3^1 = \frac{x^1 + y^1}{x^1 + x^2}, \quad u_3^2 = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{y^1 + y^2} \tag{58}$$

in a domain of $\mathbf{R}^4$, where $x^1 + x^2 \neq 0,\ y^1 + y^2 \neq 0,\ x^2 \neq y^1$.

In this case the leaves the foliations λ_1 and λ_2 are 2-planes parallel to the coordinate 2-planes Oy^1y^2 and Ox^1x^2, respectively. Since $\operatorname{rank} D_1 = \operatorname{rank} D_2 = 2,\ \operatorname{rank} D = 3$, the leaves of the third foliation λ_3 are 2-planes of a pencil. It is easy to see that the axis of this pencil is defined by the equations $x^1 = y^2 = -x^2 = -y^1$.

Using (41)–(46), (26), (28), and (31), for the web (58), we find that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma_{11}^1 = -\Gamma_{21}^2 = \sigma,\ \ \Gamma_{21}^1 = -\Gamma_{22}^2 = \tau,\ \ \Gamma_{12}^1 = \Gamma_{22}^1 = \Gamma_{11}^2 = \Gamma_{21}^2 = 0, \\ \omega_1^1 = \sigma(\underset{1}{\omega}^1 + \underset{2}{\omega}^1) + \tau\underset{1}{\omega}^2,\ \ \omega_1^2 = -\sigma\underset{1}{\omega}^2, \\ \omega_2^2 = -\tau(\underset{1}{\omega}^2 + \underset{2}{\omega}^2) - \sigma\underset{2}{\omega}^2,\ \ \omega_2^1 = \tau\underset{2}{\omega}^1, \\ a_1 = \sigma,\ \ a_2 = \tau,\ \ p_{ij} = q_{ij} = 0, \\ b_{jkl}^i = a_{jkl}^i = 0,\ \ f_{ij} = g_{ij} = h_{ij} = 0. \end{array}\right. \tag{59}$$

where $\sigma = \dfrac{x^1 + x^2}{x^2 - y^1}$ and $\tau = \dfrac{y^1 + y^2}{x^2 - y^1}$.

It follows from (59), (32), and (39) that *the web* (58) *belongs to the same classes* $\mathbf{A}_1$*,* $\mathbf{D}_{231}$*,* $\mathbf{E}_1$*, and* $\mathbf{F}_1$ *as the web* (56).

Example 6 Consider the web defined by the functions

$$u_3^1 = \frac{x^1 + y^1}{x^1 + x^2}, \quad u_3^2 = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{x^1 + x^2} \tag{60}$$

in a domain of $\mathbf{R}^4$ where $x^1 + x^2 \neq 0,\ y^1 + y^2 \neq 0$. Chern in [C 36], pp. 357–358, gave an example of a web $W(3, 2, r)$ formed in $\mathbf{R}^{2r}$ by three pencils of r-planes with fixed $(r-1)$-dimensional axes that do not belong to any hyperplane $\mathbf{R}^{2r-1}$. The web (60) is the Chern's web corresponding to the value $r = 2$.

Since $\operatorname{rank} D_1 = \operatorname{rank} D_2 = 2,\ \operatorname{rank} D = 3$, the leaves of the third foliation λ_3 are 2-planes of a pencil. It is easy to see that the axis of this pencil is defined by the equations $x^1 = y^2 = -x^2 = -y^1$.

Using (41)–(46), (26), (28), and (31), for the web (60), we find that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma^1_{11} = \Gamma^1_{21} = \Gamma^2_{12} = \Gamma^2_{22} = -\sigma, \ \ \Gamma^1_{12} = \Gamma^1_{22} = \Gamma^2_{11} = \Gamma^2_{21} = 0, \\
a_1 = a_2 = -\sigma, \ \ p_{ij} = q_{ij} = 0, \\
\omega^1_1 = -\sigma(\underset{1}{\omega}^1 + \underset{2}{\omega}^1 + \underset{1}{\omega}^2), \ \ \omega^2_1 = -\sigma \underset{2}{\omega}^2. \\
\omega^2_2 = -\sigma(\underset{1}{\omega}^1 + \underset{2}{\omega}^2 + \underset{1}{\omega}^2), \ \ \omega^1_2 = -\sigma \underset{2}{\omega}^1. \\
\frac{1}{2} b^1_{111} = \frac{1}{2} b^2_{222} = \frac{1}{2} b^1_{121} = \frac{1}{2} b^2_{212} = b^2_{122} = b^1_{112} = b^1_{122} = b^1_{211} \\
= b^1_{221} = b^2_{211} = b^2_{112} = b^2_{221} = \sigma^2, \ \ b^1_{222} = b^2_{111} = b^1_{212} = b^2_{121} = 0, \\
a^1_{111} = a^2_{222} = 2a^1_{112} = 2a^2_{122} = \frac{4}{3}\sigma^2, \ \ a^1_{122} = a^2_{112} = a^1_{222} = a^2_{111} = 0, \\
f_{ij} = g_{ij} = h_{ij} = \frac{2}{3}\sigma^2,
\end{array}\right. \tag{61}$$

where $\sigma = \dfrac{x^1 + x^2}{y^1 + y^2}$.

It follows from (61), (25), and (33) that *the web* (60) *is isoclinic but not transversally geodesic* since, for example, $a^1_{111} = \frac{4}{3}\sigma^2 \neq 0$. Thus, *this web belongs to the class* **C**. By (61), (21), and (24), *it belongs also to the classes* $\mathbf{E}_1$ *and* $\mathbf{A}_{1121}$.

Using the results of Ch. 8 of [G 88], one can prove that *the web* (60) *cannot be extended to a web* $W(4,2,2)$ *of maximum* 2*-rank*. Thus, *it belongs to the class* **G**.

In the next example the third foliation of a web $W(3,2,2)$ is also formed by 2-planes. However, unlike the webs in Examples **4, 5**, and **6**, these 2-planes do not belong to a pencil—they have only one common point.

Example 7 Consider the web (see [Bo 35], p. 449; [C 36], p. 357) defined by

$$u^1_3 = \frac{x^1 + y^1}{x^2 + y^2}, \ \ u^2_3 = \frac{x^1 - y^1}{x^2 - y^2} \tag{62}$$

in a domain of $\mathbf{R}^4$ where $x^2 \neq \pm y^2$, $x^2 y^1 - x^1 y^2 \neq 0$.

Since in this case rank D_1 = rank D_2 = 2, rank D = 4, the leaves of the third foliation λ_3 are 2-planes not belonging to a pencil.

Using (41)–(46), (26), (28), and (31), for the web (62), we find that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma^1_{11} = -\Gamma^2_{22} = -\rho, \;\; \Gamma^1_{12} = -\Gamma^1_{21} = -\sigma, \\
\Gamma^2_{12} = -\Gamma^2_{21} = -\tau, \;\; \Gamma^1_{22} = \Gamma^2_{11} = 0, \\
\omega^1_1 = -\rho(\underset{1}{\omega}^1 + \underset{2}{\omega}^1) + \sigma(\underset{1}{\omega}^2 - \underset{2}{\omega}^2), \;\; \omega^2_1 = \tau(\underset{1}{\omega}^2 - \underset{2}{\omega}^2), \\
\omega^2_2 = -\tau(\underset{1}{\omega}^1 - \underset{2}{\omega}^1) + \rho(\underset{1}{\omega}^2 + \underset{2}{\omega}^2), \;\; \omega^1_2 = -\dfrac{x^1+y^1}{y^2}\underset{1}{\omega}^1, \\
a_1 = -2\tau, \;\; a_2 = 2\sigma, \;\; p_{11} = -q_{11} = -2\tau^2, \\
p_{22} = -q_{22} = -2\sigma^2, \;\; p_{12} = p_{21} = -q_{12} = -q_{21} = -\dfrac{1}{2}\rho^2, \\
b^1_{221} = -b^1_{212} = p_{22}, \;\; b^1_{121} = -b^1_{112} = b^2_{212} = -b^2_{221} = p_{12}, \\
b^2_{112} = -b^2_{121} = p_{11}, \;\; b^i_{jjj} = b^i_{211} = b^i_{122} = 0, \\
f_{ij} = -g_{ij} = p_{ij}, \;\; h_{ij} = 0, \;\; b^i_{(jkl)} = a^i_{jkl} = 0.
\end{array}\right. \tag{63}$$

where

$$\sigma = \frac{(x^2-y^2)^2}{2(x^1y^2-x^2y^1)}, \;\; \tau = \frac{(x^2+y^2)^2}{2(x^1y^2-x^2y^1)}, \;\; \rho = \frac{(x^2)^2-(y^2)^2}{x^1y^2-x^2y^1},$$

and $\rho^2 = 4\sigma\tau$.

By (63), equation (8) does not hold. Thus *the web* (62) *belongs to the class* $\mathbf{A}_2$. It follows from (63), (33), and (38) that *the web* (62) *is algebraizable Bol web* B_m *but not a group web* since $b^i_{jkl} \neq 0$. By (63) and (38), *this web belongs to the class* $\mathbf{D}_{21}$. *It also belongs to the class* $\mathbf{E}_8$.

One can prove (see [Bo 35], p. 448) that this web can be realized as follows. Take four generators l_1, l_2, l_3 and l_4 of a quadric $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^4$ in such a way that $(l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4) = -1$. The quadric Q always belong to some $\mathbf{R}^3$. Take a point $P \notin \mathbf{R}^3$. The straight lines intersecting l_3 and l_4 form a linear congruence L in $\mathbf{R}^3$. The foliations λ_ξ of the web (62) are: λ_1 consists of 2-planes of the pencil with the axis l_1; λ_2 consists of 2-planes of the pencil with the axis l_2; and λ_3 consists of 2-planes passing through the point P and rays of L.

A realization of the web (62) in P^3 is generated by a cubic surface V^2_3 which decomposes into a quadric V^2_2 and a plane V^2_1. Using the results of [G 88] (Chapter **8**, Example **8.4.1**), one can prove that *the three-web* (62) *can be extended to a four-web* $W(4,2,2)$ *of maximum* 2*-rank, and the latter is generated in* P^3 *by a quartic which decomposes into a quadric* V^2_2 *and two planes* V^2_1 *and* $\widetilde{V}^2_1$. This four-web $W(4,2,2)$ is not exceptional since it is algebraizable. Thus *the web* (62) *belongs to the class* $\mathbf{F}_1$.

Example 8 Consider the web defined by the functions

$$u^1_3 = Ax^1y^1 + Bx^1y^2 + Cx^2y^1 + Ex^2y^2, \;\; u^2_3 = ax^1y^1 + bx^1y^2 + bx^2y^1 + ex^2y^2 \tag{64}$$

(where A, B, C, E, a, b, c, and e are constants) in a domain of $\mathbf{R}^4$ where

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \Delta_1 = (y^1)^2(Ac - Ca) + (y^2)^2(Be - Eb) + y^1y^2(Ae + Bc - Cb - Ea) \neq 0, \\ \Delta_2 = (x^1)^2(Ab - Ba) + (x^2)^2(Ce - Ec) + x^1x^2(Ae - Bc + C - Ea) \neq 0. \end{array}\right.$$

It is naturally to call webs (64) *homogeneous quadratic webs.*

Using (41)–(46), (26), (28), and (31), for the web (64), we find that for arbitrary A, B, C, E, a, b, c, and e we have

$$a_1 = a_2 = 0, \;\; p_{ij} = q_{ij} = 0, \;\; f_{ij} = g_{ij} = h_{ij} = 0, \;\; b^1_{111} = a^1_{111} \neq 0. \tag{65}$$

To prove the last inequality, we calculate the term of b^1_{111} with $(x^1)^2(y)^1)^2$. Rather difficult calculations give that the coefficient in this term is

$$a\Big[(Ab - Ba)(-2Bc^2 + 2Eac - Cae + Ace) - (Cb - Ea)(Ac - Ca)b\Big].$$

This shows that for arbitrary A, B, C, E, a, b, c, and e we have $b^1_{111} = a^1_{111} \neq 0$. However, for some values of these constants, we have $b^i_{jkl} = a^i_{jkl} = 0$ for all components (see Example **9**, where $B = C = a = e = 0, \; A = E = b = c = 1$).

It follows from (65), (32), and (33) that *the web* (64) *is always isoclinicly geodesic and in general not transversally geodesic.* Thus, *this web belongs to the classes* **B** *and* **C**. Conditions (65) show that *it also belongs to the class* $\mathbf{E_1}$.

It is an interesting problem to *determine all homogeneous quadratic group* (*and therefore parallelizable*) *webs* (i.e., webs (64) with the vanishing curvature tensor). The web in our next example is of this kind.

Example 9 Consider the web defined by

$$u^1_3 = x^1y^1 + x^2y^2, \quad u^2_3 = x^1y^2 + x^2y^1 \tag{66}$$

in a domain of $\mathbf{R}^4$ where $x^2 \neq \pm x^1, \; y^2 \neq \pm y^1$. Note that the web (66) is a particular case of the web (64) corresponding to the following values of constants: $B = C = a = e = 0, \; A = E = b = c = 1$.

Using (41)–(46), (26), (28), and (31), for the web (66), we find that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma^1_{11} = \Gamma^1_{22} = \Gamma^2_{12} = \Gamma^2_{21} = -\dfrac{x^1y^1 + x^2y^2}{\big((x^1)^2 - (x^2)^2\big)\big((y^1)^2 - (y^2)^2\big)}, \\ \Gamma^1_{12} = \Gamma^1_{21} = \Gamma^2_{11} = \Gamma^2_{22} = \dfrac{x^1y^2 + x^2y^1}{\big((x^1)^2 - x^2)^2\big)\big((y^1)^2 - (y^2)^2\big)}, \\ \omega^i_j = \Gamma^i_{jk}(\underset{1}{\omega}^k + \underset{2}{\omega}^k), \;\; a_1 = a_2 = 0, \;\; p_{ij} = q_{ij} = 0, \\ b^i_{jkl} = a^i_{jkl} = 0, \;\; f_{ij} = g_{ij} = h_{ij} = 0. \end{array}\right. \tag{67}$$

It follows from (67), (32), and (39) that *the web* (66) *is parallelizable.* Thus, *this web belongs to the class* $\mathbf{D}_{232}$ (*and therefore to the class* **B**). By (67) and (8), *it belongs also to the classes* $\mathbf{E}_1$ *and* $\mathbf{A}_1$.

Since this web is algebraizable, its representation is generated by three planes of a pencil of planes in P^3. Using the results of [G 88] (Chapter **8**, Example **8.4.1**), one can prove that *the three-web* (66) *can be extended to a four-web* $W(4,2,2)$ *of maximum* 2*-rank, and the latter is generated by four planes belonging to a pencil of planes in* P^3. This four-web $W(4,2,2)$ is not exceptional since it is algebraizable. Thus *the web* (66) *belongs to the class* $\mathbf{F}_1$.

Example 10 Consider the web defined by

$$u_3^1 = x^1 e^{-y^2} + x^2 e^{y_1}, \quad u_3^2 = x^2 + y^2 \tag{68}$$

in a domain of $\mathbf{R}^4$ where $x^2 \neq 0$. Using (41)–(46), (26), (28), and (31), for the web (68), we find that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma^2_{ij} = \Gamma^1_{11} = 0, \;\; \Gamma^1_{12} = 1, \;\; \Gamma^1_{21} = -\dfrac{1}{x^2}, \;\; \Gamma^1_{22} = -\Big(e^{y^1} + \dfrac{x^1}{x^2} e^{-y^2}\Big), \\
\omega^2_i = 0, \;\; \omega^1_1 = \dfrac{1}{x^2}\omega^2_1, \;\; \omega^1_2 = \dfrac{1}{x^2}\omega^1_1 - \Big(e^{y^1} + \dfrac{x^1}{x^2} e^{-y^2}\Big), \;\; a_1 = 0, \\
a_2 = -\left(1 + \dfrac{1}{x^2}\right), \;\; p_{ij} = 0, \;\; (i,j) \neq (2,2), \;\; p_{22} = \dfrac{1}{(x^2)^2}, \;\; q_{ij} = 0, \\
b^1_{222} = a^1_{222} = \dfrac{1}{x^2}\left(e^{y^1} + \dfrac{x^1}{x^2} e^{-y^2}\right), \;\; b^1_{221} = \dfrac{1}{(x^2)^2}, \\
b^1_{jkl} = 0, \; (j,k,l) \neq (2,2,2), (2,2,1), \;\; b^2_{jkl} = 0, \\
a^1_{122} == \dfrac{5}{24(x^2)^2}, \;\; a^2_{222} = -\dfrac{3}{8(x^2)^2}, \;\; a^i_{111} = a^i_{112} = 0, \\
f_{1i} = g_{1i} = h_{1i} = 0, \; f_{22} = \dfrac{19}{24(x^2)^2}, \;\; g_{22} = h_{22} = -\dfrac{5}{24(x^2)^2}.
\end{array}\right. \tag{69}$$

It follows from (69), (25), and (33) that *the web* (68) *is isoclinic but not transversally geodesic*. Thus, *this web belongs to the class* **C**. By (69), (20), and (23), *this web belongs to the class* $\mathbf{A}_{131}$*; it also belongs to the class* $\mathbf{E}_2$.

Using the results of Ch. 8 of [G 88], one can prove that *the web* (68) *cannot be extended to a web* $W(4,2,2)$ *of maximum* 2*-rank*. Thus, *it belongs to the class* **G**.

Example 11 Consider the web defined by

$$u_3^1 = e^{-2y^2}\big(x^1 + e^{2y^2} + \frac{e}{2} x^2 y^2\big), \quad u_3^2 = x^2 + y^2 \tag{70}$$

in any domain of $\mathbf{R}^4$.

Using (41)–(46), (26), (28), and (31), for the web (70), we find that for this web

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma^2_{ij} = \Gamma^1_{11} = 0, \;\; \Gamma^1_{12} = 2, \;\; \Gamma^1_{21} = \Big(y^2 - \dfrac{1}{2}\Big)e^{1-2y^2}, \\
\Gamma^1_{22} = \Big[-y^2 + e^{-2y^2}\Big(y^2 - \dfrac{1}{2}\Big)\Big(2x^1 + ex^2y^2 - \dfrac{1}{2}ey^2\Big)\Big]e^{1-2y^2}, \\
\omega^1_1 = \Big(y^2 - \dfrac{1}{2}\Big)e^{1-2y^2}\underset{1}{\omega}^2 + 2\underset{2}{\omega}^2, \;\; \omega^2_i = 0, \\
\omega^1_2 = 2\underset{1}{\omega}^1 + \Big(y^2 - \dfrac{1}{2}\Big)e^{1-2y^2}\underset{2}{\omega}^1 \\
+\Big[-y^2 + e^{-2y^2}\Big(y^2 - \dfrac{1}{2}\Big)\Big(2x^1 + ex^2y^2 - \dfrac{1}{2}ey^2\Big)\Big]e^{1-2y^2}(\underset{1}{\omega}^2 + \underset{2}{\omega}^2), \\
a_1 = 0, \;\; a_2 = \Big(y^2 - \dfrac{1}{2}\Big)e^{1-2y^2} - 2, \\
p_{1i} = q_{1i} = p_{21} = p_{22} = q_{21} = 0, \;\; q_{22} = 2(1 - y^2)e^{1-2y^2}, \\
b^2_{jkl} = b^1_{111} = b^1_{112} = b^1_{121} = b^1_{211} = 0, \\
b^1_{222} = (2y^2 - 1)\Big[-\Big(1 + \dfrac{1}{2}ey^2\Big)e^{1-2y^2} + \Big(y^2 - \dfrac{1}{2}\Big)e^{2-3y^2} \\
+\Big(4x^1 + 2ex^2y^2 - \dfrac{1}{2}ex^2\Big)e^{1-4y^2}\Big], \;\; b^1_{221} = \dfrac{1}{2}e^{1-2y^2}, \\
b^1_{122} = \Big(y^2 - \dfrac{1}{2}\Big)e + (y^2 - 1)e^{1-2y^2}, \;\; b^1_{212} = \Big(y^2 - \dfrac{1}{2}\Big)(e - e^{1-2y^2}), \\
f_{1i} = g_{1i} = h_{1i} = 0, \\
f_{22} = h_{22} = \dfrac{3e}{16}\Big(2y^2 - 1\Big) + \Big(\dfrac{2}{3}y^2 - \dfrac{19}{24}\Big)e^{1-2y^2}, \\
g_{22} = \dfrac{3e}{16}\Big(2y^2 - 1\Big) + \Big(\dfrac{29}{24} - \dfrac{4}{3}y^2\Big)e^{1-2y^2}, \\
a^2_{jkl} = a^1_{111} = a^1_{112} = 0, \;\; a^1_{222} = b^1_{222}, \\
a^1_{122} = \dfrac{1}{8}\Big(2y^2 - 1\Big)\Big(2e - 1\Big) + \dfrac{1}{6}\Big(\dfrac{4}{9}y^2 - \dfrac{41}{36}\Big)e^{1-2y^2}.
\end{array}\right. \tag{71}$$

It follows from (71), (25), and (33) that *the web* (70) *is isoclinic but not transversally geodesic*. Thus, *this web belongs to the class* **C**. By (71), (20), and (23), *this web belongs to the class* $\mathbf{A}_{131}$*; it also belongs to the class* $\mathbf{E}_3$.

Example 12 Consider the web defined by the functions

$$u^1_3 = \frac{(x^1)^2 + (y^1)^2}{x^1 + y^1}, \quad u^2_3 = \frac{(x^2)^2 + (y^2)^2}{x^2 + y^2}, \tag{72}$$

in a domain of $\mathbf{R}^4$ where $x^1 \neq -y^1, \; x^2 \neq y^2, \; (x^i)^2 + 2x^iy^i - (y^i)^2 \neq 0, \; (y^i)^2 + 2x^iy^i - (x^1)^2 \neq 0$.

Using (41)–(46), (26), (28), and (31), for the web (72), we find that for this web

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma^1_{11} = \dfrac{4x^1y^1(x^1+y^1)}{(A^1)^2}, \quad \Gamma^1_{jk} = 0, \;\; (j,k) \neq (1,1), \\
\Gamma^2_{22} = \dfrac{4x^2y^2(x^2+y^2)}{(B^2)^2}, \quad \Gamma^2_{jk} = 0, \;\; (j,k) \neq (2,2), \\
\omega^i_j = 0, \;\; j \neq i; \;\; \omega^1_1 = \dfrac{4x^1y^1(x^1+y^1)}{(A^1)^2}\underset{1}{\omega}^{1}, \quad \omega^2_2 = \dfrac{4x^2y^2(x^2+y^2)}{(B^2)^2}\underset{2}{\omega}^{2}, \\
a_1 = 0, \quad a_2 = 0, \quad p_{ij} = q_{ij} = 0, \\
b^1_{111} = -\dfrac{4(x^1+y^1)^2[(x^1)^4 - 2(x^1)^3y^1 + 6(x^1)^2(y^1)^2 + 2x^1(y^1)^3 + (y^1)^4]}{(A^1)^4A^2}, \\
b^2_{222} = \dfrac{4(x^2+y^2)^2[(x^2)^4 + 2(x^2)^3y^2 + 6(x^2)^2(y^2)^2 - 2x^2(y^2)^3 + (y^2)^4]}{(B^1)^4B^2}, \\
b^1_{jkl} = 0, \;\; (j,k,l) \neq (1,1,1), \;\; b^2_{jkl} = 0, \;\; (j,k,l) \neq (2,2,2), \\
a^i_{jjj} = a^i_{iij} = 0, \;\; i \neq j, \;\; a^1_{111} = \dfrac{3}{4}b^1_{111}, \;\; a^1_{122} = -\dfrac{1}{12}b^2_{222}, \\
a^2_{222} = \dfrac{3}{4}b^2_{222}, \;\; a^2_{112} = -\dfrac{1}{12}b^1_{111}, \\
f_{11} = g_{11} = h_{11} = \dfrac{1}{4}b^1_{111}, \;\; f_{12} = g_{12} = h_{12} = 0, \;\; f_{22} = g_{22} = h_{22} = \dfrac{1}{4}b^2_{222}.
\end{array}\right. \tag{73}$$

where

$$A^i = (x^i)^2 + 2x^iy^i - (y^i)^2, \;\; B^i = (y^i)^2 + 2x^iy^i - (x^i)^2.$$

It follows from (73), (32), and (33) that *the web* (72) *is isoclinicly geodesic and not transversally geodesic.* Thus, *this web belongs to the classes* **B** *and* **C**. Conditions (73) show that *it also belongs to the class* $\mathbf{E}_1$.

Example 13 Consider the web defined by the functions

$$u^1_3 = x^1 + y^1 + \frac{1}{2}(x^1)^2y^1, \quad u^2_3 = x^2 + y^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x^2)^2y^2 \tag{74}$$

in a domain of $\mathbf{R}^4$ where $x^1y^1 \neq -1, \; x^2y^2 \neq -1$.

Using (41)–(46), (26), (28), and (31), for the web (74), we find that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma^1_{i2} = \Gamma^2_{1i} = \Gamma^1_{21} = \Gamma^2_{21} = 0, \;\; \Gamma^1_{11} = -\dfrac{x^1}{A^1(B^1)^2}, \quad \Gamma^2_{22} = -\dfrac{x^2}{A^2(B^2)^2}, \\
\omega^i_j = 0, \;\; j \neq i; \;\; \omega^1_1 = -\dfrac{x^1}{A^1(B^1)^2}\underset{1}{\omega}^{1}, \quad \omega^2_2 = -\dfrac{x^2}{A^2(B^2)^2}\underset{2}{\omega}^{2}, \\
a_1 = 0, \quad a_2 = 0, \quad p_{ij} = q_{ij} = 0, \\
b^1_{111} = \dfrac{\frac{1}{2}(x^1)^4A^1 - (x^1)^2 - 1}{(A^1)^3B^1}, \;\; a^1_{111} = \dfrac{1}{4}b^1_{111}, \\
b^2_{jkl} = a^2_{jkl} = 0, \;\; b^1_{jkl} = a^1_{jkl} = 0, \;\; (j,k,l) \neq (1,1,1), \\
f_{11} = g_{11} = h_{11} = \frac{1}{4}b^1_{111}, \;\; f_{i2} = g_{i2} = h_{i2} = 0.
\end{array}\right. \tag{75}$$

where

$$A^i = 1 + x^i y^i; \;\; B^i = 1 + \frac{(x^i)^2}{2}.$$

It follows from (75), (32), and (33) that *the web* (74) *is isoclinicly geodesic and not transversally geodesic.* Thus, *this web belongs to the classes* **B** *and* **C**. Conditions (75) show that *it also belongs to the class* $\mathbf{E_1}$.

Example 14 Consider the web defined by

$$u_3^1 = x^1 + y^1 + x^1 y^2, \quad u_3^2 = x^2 y^2 \tag{76}$$

in a domain of $\mathbf{R}^4$ where $x^2 \neq 0$ and $y^2 \neq 0, -1$.

Using (41)–(46), (26), (28), and (31), for the web (76), we find that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma_{12}^1 = -\dfrac{1}{x^2(1+y^2)}, \;\; \Gamma_{jk}^1 = 0, \;\; (j,k) \neq (1,2), \\
\Gamma_{22}^2 = -\dfrac{1}{x^2 y^2}, \;\; \Gamma_{jk}^2 = 0, \;\; (j,k) \neq (2,2), \\
\omega_1^1 = -\dfrac{1}{x^2(1+y^2)} \underset{2}{\omega}^2, \;\; \omega_1^2 = 0, \\
\omega_2^1 = -\dfrac{1}{x^2(1+y^2)} \underset{1}{\omega}^1, \;\; \omega_2^2 = -\dfrac{1}{x^2 y^2} (\underset{1}{\omega}^2 + \underset{2}{\omega}^2), \\
a_1 = 0, \;\; a_2 = \dfrac{1}{(1+y^2)x^2}, \;\; q_{22} = \dfrac{1}{(1+y^2)^2 (x^2)^2 y^2}, \;\; p_{ij} = q_{1i} = 0, \\
b_{212}^1 = \dfrac{1}{(1+y^2)^2 (x^2)^2 y^2}, \;\; b_{jkl}^1 = 0, \;\; (j,k,l) \neq (2,1,2); \;\; b_{jkl}^2 = 0, \\
a_{222}^2 = f_{22} = h_{22} = -\dfrac{1}{3} g_{22} = -\dfrac{1}{4} b_{212}^1, \;\; a_{jkl}^2 = 0, \;\; (j,k,l) \neq (2,2,2), \\
a_{jkl}^1 = 0, \;\; f_{11} = g_{11} = h_{11} = 0, \;\; f_{12} = g_{12} = h_{12} = 0.
\end{array}\right. \tag{77}$$

It follows from (77), (32), and (33) that *the web* (76) *is isoclinic and not transversally geodesic.* Thus, *this web belongs to the class* **C**. In addition, by (77), (20), and (23), *it belongs to the classes* $\mathbf{A}_{131}$ *and* $\mathbf{E}_3$.

Example 15 Consider the web defined by

$$u_3^1 = x^1 + y^1 + x^1 y^2 + x^2 y^1, \quad u_3^2 = x^2 y^2 \tag{78}$$

in a domain of $\mathbf{R}^4$ where $x^2 \neq 0, -1$ and $y^2 \neq 0, -1$.

Introduce the following notations: $\sigma = \dfrac{1}{x^2(1+y^2)}$ and $\tau = \dfrac{1}{y^2(1+x^2)}$.

Then using (41)–(46), (26), (28), and (31), for the web (78), we find that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma^1_{11} = \Gamma^2_{11} = \Gamma^2_{12} = \Gamma^2_{21} = 0, \;\; \Gamma^1_{12} = -\sigma, \;\; \Gamma^1_{21} = -\tau, \\
\Gamma^2_{22} = -\dfrac{1}{x^2y^2}, \;\; \Gamma^1_{22} = \sigma\tau(x^1 + y^1 + x^1y^2 + x^2y^1), \\
\omega^1_1 = -\tau\underset{1}{\omega}^2 - \sigma\underset{2}{\omega}^2, \;\; \omega^2_1 = 0, \\
\omega^1_2 = -\sigma\underset{1}{\omega}^1 - \tau\underset{2}{\omega}^1, \;\; \omega^2_2 = -\dfrac{1}{x^2y^2}(\underset{1}{\omega}^2 + \underset{2}{\omega}^2), \\
a_1 = 0, \;\; a_2 = \sigma\tau(y^2 - x^2), \;\; p_{1i} = q_{1i} = 0, \;\; p_{22} = -\dfrac{\tau^2}{x^2}, \;\; q_{22} = \dfrac{\sigma^2}{y^2}, \\
b^2_{jkl} = b^1_{111} = b^1_{112} = b^1_{121} = b^1_{211} = b^1_{122} = 0, \\
b^1_{212} = \dfrac{\sigma^2}{y^2}, \;\; b^1_{221} = -\dfrac{\tau^2}{x^2}, \;\; b^1_{222} = a^1_{222} = \Gamma^1_{22}a_2, \\
f_{1i} = g_{1i} = h_{1i} = 0, \;\; h_{22} = \dfrac{1}{4}\sigma^2\tau^2(y^2 - x^2)(1 - x^2y^2), \\
f_{22} = -\dfrac{1}{4}\sigma^2\tau^2\big[3x^2(1 + y^2)^2 + x^2(1 + x^2)^2\big], \\
g_{22} = \dfrac{1}{4}\sigma^2\tau^2\big[3y^2(1 + x^2)^2 + y^2(1 + y^2)^2\big].
\end{array}\right. \tag{79}$$

It follows from (79), (32), and (33) that *the web* (78) *is isoclinic and not transversally geodesic.* Thus, *this web belongs to the class* **C**. In addition, by (79), (19), and (22), *it belongs to the classes* $\mathbf{A}_{131}$ *and* $\mathbf{E}_4$.

We will present the results of this section in the following table in which we indicate to which classes the webs of our 15 examples belong.

Example/Classes	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**E**	**F**	**G**
1	$\mathbf{A}_{121}$		$\mathbf{C}_{11}$		$\mathbf{E}_{71}$	$\mathbf{F}_2$	
2	$\mathbf{A}_{121}$				$\mathbf{E}_{71}$	$\mathbf{F}_2$	
3	$\mathbf{A}_{121}$		$\mathbf{C}_1$		$\mathbf{E}_2$		**G**
4	$\mathbf{A}_1$			$\mathbf{D}_{231}$	$\mathbf{E}_1$	$\mathbf{F}_1$	
5	$\mathbf{A}_1$			$\mathbf{D}_{231}$	$\mathbf{E}_1$	$\mathbf{F}_1$	
6	$\mathbf{A}_{1121}$		**C**		$\mathbf{E}_1$		**G**
7	$\mathbf{A}_2$			$\mathbf{D}_{21}$	$\mathbf{E}_8$	$\mathbf{F}_1$	
8		**B**	**C**		$\mathbf{E}_1$		
9	$\mathbf{A}_1$	**B**		$\mathbf{D}_{232}$	$\mathbf{E}_1$	$\mathbf{F}_1$	
10	$\mathbf{A}_{131}$	**C**			$\mathbf{E}_2$		**G**
11	$\mathbf{A}_{131}$	**C**			$\mathbf{E}_3$		
12		**B**	**C**		$\mathbf{E}_1$		
13		**B**	**C**		$\mathbf{E}_1$		
14	$\mathbf{A}_{131}$		**C**		$\mathbf{E}_3$		
15	$\mathbf{A}_{131}$		**C**		$\mathbf{E}_4$		

Thus the examples considered in this section prove existence of all webs indicated in this table. Moreover, this proves existence of more general webs than those indicated in the table. For example, existence of $\mathbf{D}_{231}$ proves existence of $\mathbf{D}_{23}$, $\mathbf{D}_{2}$, and $\mathbf{D}$.

References

[A 73] Akivis, M. A., *The local differentiable quasigroups and three-webs that are determined by a triple of hypersurfaces.* (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **14** (1973), no. 3, 467-474. English translation: Siberian Math. J. **14** (1973), no. 3, 319-324.

[A 74] Akivis, M. A., *Isoclinic three-webs, and their interpretation in a ruled space with projective connection.* (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **15** (1974), no. 1, 3–15. English translation: Siberian Math. J. **15** (1974), no. 1, l–9.

[AG 96] Akivis, M. A. and V. V. Goldberg, *Conformal differential geometry*, John Wiley & Sons, 1996, xiv+383 pp.

[AG 99a] Akivis, M. A. and V. V. Goldberg, *Differential geometry of webs*, Handbook of Differential Geometry, Elsevier, Vol. 1, 1999 (to appear).

[AG 99b] Akivis, M. A. and V. V. Goldberg, *On four-dimensional three-webs*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Messina Ser. II, n. 5 (1999) (to appear).

[AS 71] Akivis, M. A. and A. M. Shelekhov, *The computation of the curvature and torsion tensors of a multidimensional three-web and of the associator of the local quasigroup that is connected with it.* (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **12** (1971), no. 5, 953–960. English translation: Siberian Math. J. **12** (1971), no. 5, 685–689.

[AS 92] Akivis, M. A. and A. M. Shelekhov, *Geometry and Algebra of Multidimensional Three-Webs.* Translated from the Russian by V. V. Goldberg. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992, xvii+358 pp.

[B 35] Bol, G., *Über 3-Gewebe in vierdimensionalen Raum*, Math. Ann. **110** (1935), 431–463.

[C 36] Chern, S. S., *Eine Invariantentheorie der Dreigewebe aus r-dimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten in* $\mathbf{R}_{2r}$. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **11** (1936), no. 1–2, 333–358.

[G 83] Goldberg, V. V., *Tissus de codimension r et de r-rang maximum.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **297** (1983), no. 6, 339–342.

[G 85] Goldberg, V. V., 4-*tissus isoclines exceptionnels de codimension deux et de* 2-*rang maximal.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **301** (1985), no. 11, 593–596.

[G 86] Goldberg, V. V., *Isoclinic webs* $W(4,2,2)$ *of maximum* 2-*rank.* Differential Geometry, Peniscola 1985, 168–183, Lecture Notes in Math., **1209**, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1986.

[G 87] Goldberg, V. V., *Nonisoclinic* 2-*codimensional* 4-*webs of maximum* 2-*rank.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1987), no. 4, 701–708.

[G 88] Goldberg, V. V., *Theory of Multicodimensional* $(n+1)$-*Webs*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1988, xxii + 466 pp.

[G 92] Goldberg, V. V., *Rank problems for webs* $W(d,2,r)$. Differential Geometry and Its Applications (Eger, 1989), 317–357, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai **56**, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1992.

[H 98] Hénaut, A., *Sur l'algebrisation des tissus de codimension* n *de* $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$ (French) [On algebraization problem for webs of codimension n in $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$], Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **31** (1998), no. 1, 131–143.

Author's address:
Vladislav V. Goldberg
Department of Mathematics
New Jersey Institute of Technology
Newark, N.J. 07102, U.S.A.

Author's e-mail address: vlgold@m.njit.edu